

Dott. Luigi De Ficchy , Chief prosecutor at the
Public Prosecutor of Perugia

Dr. Gemma Miliani , Deputy Prosecutor, at the
Public Prosecutor of Perugia

P.ROC. 4071 / 2017 RGNR P.ERUGIA

IS He moved ED THE NTEGRAZIONI

and Procedure n. 21245/16 of the Prosecutor's Office in Rome

TUESDAY 9 APRIL 2019

Dear Dr. De Ficchy, Egregia
Dr. Miliani,

in relation to my previous display, and new findings from the defensive investigations, I believe further expose and integrate.

1. On the Link Campus University

As already reported to the prosecutor of Perugia, in my exposed in January last year, it is necessary to deepen the connections and interrelations between the actors of the story EyePyramid (Public Ministry, Judicial Police, Francesco Di Maio etc.) **their common attendance at the Link Campus University of Rome** , Place where have emerged and are emerging, worrying interventionist activities in the American election campaign in 2016, and the next attempt to dismiss the President Trump, following his inauguration.

First it is obliged to point out how well are *in parallel* surfacing investigations on the same Link Campus by the prosecutor of Florence,

https://rep.repubblica.it/pwa/locali/2019/04/05/news/l_inchiesta_lauree_facili_perquisizione_in_police-223334480/?ref=RHPPBT-BH-I0-C8-T1-P3-S1.8

which would seem attributable to a story already reported by the press last year,

<http://www.ilgiornale.it/news/politica/e-san-marino-adesso-spunta-filo-rosso-link-e-siulp-1514679.html>

and from which it would seem that **payments for frequent courses of agents State Police They were paid, first on a foundation whose president appeared to be Gianni De Gennaro, then even to San Marino** . Considering, therefore, that individuals appeared in the proceedings by you later have a professional relationship with Link Campus, and many of them are at the same time belonging to the State Police, it seems logical to ascertain their positions with respect to the aforementioned investigative hypotheses, even purely journalistic.

It 'clear that the interest of the prosecutor of Florence is born, then, by the fact that it would appear that some of these agents of the State Police had in fact had access to a *preferred channel* for obtaining titles. Pouring simply the contributions, *not* They would actually have been called to attend classes and then to carry out the examinations. This would also be a false representation clearly the qualifications obtained in the subsequent use of it would be done in public competitions; even to the detriment of the official Carabinieri and of Guardia di Finanza.

Compared to the above, however, he has aroused in us *even greater perplexity* having discovered that another *witness* EyePyramid the process teaches Link Campus, which is the **Dott. Alfio Rapisarda** of ENI.

<https://master.unilink.it/master-in-scientific-intelligence-scienze-comportamentalicriminologiche-e-applicate-alle-investigazioni-e-allintel>

How, in fact, perfectly remember, Rapisarda was called to testify because of the presence of ENI in the dossier; within the production made by today Ramondino investigated. From there, *pure accident*, our defense *He had to come to knowledge*

the existence of a previous one proceedings of the 2015 , Invariably governed by **Dott. Albamontes**; or what, according to the prosecutor of Perugia , Albamontes would *Forgot*

to bring together our own.

First, therefore, I invite you to evaluate nascent possible profiles of perjury Because of all witnesses appeared in our process, *nobody* He has decided to reveal his association with others **actors** of each other within the same Link Campus University, neither Di Maio, nor Rapisarda, nor Albamontes nor CNAIPIC; and perhaps there are still others.

At present, however, it also reinforces a bleak picture of *systematic of cyber attack incidents* to large companies of the State; punctually followed by *themselves* actors investigators and irreplaceable **Federico Ramondino** of the **Mentat Solutions**; although without any title. In fact, it was just Ramondino to deal with the incident ENI, just as it is for the state ENAV.

To understand that there was also a important aspect of economic interest in this matter not needed then *great abstraction*; In fact, I already I pointed to the Prosecutor of Perugia a year ago in my memory *April 10, 2018*, see Chapter 2, " *Subjects and topics Connect*"Where they were exemplified economic and professional return of a strategy *cyber alarm*. In light of what is emerging, and if it should be found that even if ENI, Mentat was in fact *appended* to a company much more *consistent*, or the **Solutions to Enterprises (S2E)**

it would seem logical to try to reconstruct a complete track of the amounts that have been disbursed by these companies to S2E, and then see the path that this money did .

We have also always stressed that, in the case **Stajano** already it began to appear insistently the name " **Poste** "; which makes us think that (*if it were not for our complaints*) probably would Poste new victim of some *alarming* cyber attack; whose solution would obviously *very well paid*, and maybe also headed Ramondino.

This also leads us to make some reflections on the role of **Dott. Albamontes**, which apparently not only it seems to have *Forgot* to bring together the case ENI with that ENAV but

also it seems *not have noticed* any of those strange coincidences with Strong economic benefit for "a few select".

Maybe Dr. Albamontes has become so specialized in computer literate which partially *neglected* economic crime, especially that affecting large State-owned companies, it seems.

2. Operation of Sabotage Trump and Article. 244 CP

The US Congress has recently released the transcript (which is attached) of **Bill Priestap**, Head of the Counter-Espionage FBI since January 2016 and a major player in Russiagate each other. Despite this transcript contains several *newsrooms* bold, aimed at maintaining the secrecy of sources and methods, despite the same Priestap refuse to answer some questions because secret information, emerge important elements that relate to our exposed last January Previous .

Priestap interview clearly states that, after its head of counter-intelligence establishment in January 2016, foreign agents they flew in the United States to inform them of their activities, asking them to repay their visit with a visit to their country of origin . Now, despite Priestap is very evasive about his travels abroad, which is likely to do so incurring a worsening of its position, other agents (such as **Lisa Page**) They testified that the FBI had not *practically no element* to start an investigation into collusion between Trump and Russia, at least until a counter-intelligence team not He made a trip to Rome in September 2016 .

Mr. Jordan. When you went to this trip, was it primarily were you on the receiving end of information or were you also conveying?

Mr. Priestap. No, I was on the receiving end.

So when I assumed the assistant director position in January, a month or two later a ██████████ contingent came to the United States because of my changeover and, let's just say, welcomed me and talked about a variety of issues. **2016**

When they left, they asked if I would please visit their country and service because they would like to tell me some more about some of their efforts. And I said, as so as soon as my schedule allows, I will do that. And when my schedule allowed, I went, and they were telling me things.

At this point, therefore, it is obvious that we should investigate any trips made by members of the Postal Police, the Department of CNAIPIC or Safety Information in the USA In the months immediately following the 2016 inauguration of Priestap to counter-intelligence; as this attest that the material *subversive* against Trump He had been prepared well before; and probably in sync with the preparation of the story EyePyramid .

What, then, the flow of information on the case Russiagate was headed " from the partners "foreign" to the "FBI has also subsequently witnessed by Priestap at another point in his testimony.

Mr. Meadows. Sure. So when you went in [REDACTED], did you discuss cases at that particular point?

Mr. Priestap. The foreign partner discussed their -- some of their efforts.

Mr. Meadows. Did you discuss any cases you were investigating at that particular point?

Mr. Priestap. Not that I recall. Because, again, the purpose of that meeting was for me to be briefed by them.

Mr. Meadows. And so all the briefings that you had were all by government officials?

Mr. Priestap. Yes. Yes.

Therefore, it is clear that: a strategy that aimed to influence US elections in 2016 and then to dismiss a US President in office began, in total absence the request by the FBI, and what's more in a foreign country . It follows, then, by the deposition that the foreign partner is a force of intelligence or police *consisting of two names*, which places it among the candidates, of course, the Postal Police.

Mr. Jordan. What was it in this situation?

Mr. Priestap. In this situation it was a foreign government partner. POLIZIA POSTALE? WORD #1 ↓
↓ WORD #2 ↓
Mr. Jordan. Was it the [REDACTED] or was it the [REDACTED] plus some other one. Some other country from [REDACTED]?

Mr. Priestap. Just the [REDACTED].

Mr. Jordan. Just the [REDACTED].

Mr. Priestap. Yes.

Despite being just myself to expose first the probable origin of these facts to the prosecutor of Perugia, myself *do not feel absolutely* that the Postal Police enjoyed the prestige and the thickness needed to do a similar approach to FBI; at least in the absence of an intermediary pluri-accredited .

Of this individual, in fact, he speaks the same Priestap, calling it " equity "In clear reference to an" asset "for the FBI value, thus underlining the fact that this name is just what everyone wanted to date keep top secret .

This pertains to ***new serious national security implications*** as it outlines the fact that a **Confidential Human Source** FBI would act as a member of a foreign government, with aims to overthrow the American administration settled.

Mr. Jordan. Can you tell us those names, the ones you recall today?

Mr. Priestap. Yeah, I'd rather not, only because of the foreign government equities involved. And I'm a big believer if I'm going to divulge their equities I want to advise them that I'm going to do it.

And so what I will do is, immediately following this, I will say, "I have a request to divulge your names. Do you have any issue?"

I'm sure *not* They will miss the hints about who might be such **CHS**, but I also bring your attention to the name **Gianni De Gennaro**; whose decades-long relationship with the FBI, and the same **Robert Mueller**, could be the *real reason* for which Mueller was later appointed Special Attorney in **Russiagate**.

With respect, then, to the letters rogatory related to our server, I note that it took place in the same week in which **James Comey** He was fired by FBI Chief (May 9, 2017) and was later appointed precisely Mueller.

However, in the history of the **Russiagate** it is clear that *something happened* at the time of dismissal of **Comey**; something such as to also move the n. 2 FBI **Andrew McCabe**, by the Deputy Attorney General **Rod Rosenstein**.

This is likely to be linked to a *some kind of macroscopic failure* achieved by FBI in those days. And this It could be a false representation made to FBI agents Italians, on the possible discovery of email Clinton on our servers in the United States, and the subsequent discovery of the opposite; with lots of **bad shape**.

Therefore, as the FBI agents mentioned They are now all been ousted, dismissed or even investigated, Seems the case that **finally Italy begins to shed light** what made its public officials and, ultimately, also on the role of "other free citizens" who *not* should in any way pursue objectives such as those mentioned above.

Finally, the recommendation for renewal to occur that Albamontes and the other defendants are not taking my direct vision of these matches to the Prosecutor of Perugia because, if so, it would be evident that said suspects are one or more steps forward to the prosecutor of Perugia same; with obvious prejudice to the investigations.

With best regards,


Giulio Occhionero