

Dott. **Luigi De Ficchy** , Chief prosecutor at the  
Public Prosecutor of Perugia

Dr. **Gemma Miliani** , Deputy Prosecutor, at the  
Public Prosecutor of Perugia

**P ROC. 4071 / 2017 RGNR P ERUGIA**

## **IS He moved ED THE NTEGRAZIONI**

Procedure on No. 21245/16 of the Public Prosecutor of Rome and the reasons for its judgment,  
signed by Dr. Antonella Bencivinni

MONDAY 14 JANUARY 2019

Dear Dr. De Ficchy, Egregia  
Dr. Miliani,

in relation to my previous exposed to the motivations of the proceedings 21245/16 judgment, and new findings from the defensive investigations, I believe further expose and integrate.

### **1. Premise**

Reading the written judgment against the brothers Occhionero recently filed by Dr. Bencivinni, the first thing that **strikes the eye is the periodic recur of the word " malw is king "**, Reported, at several points in the course of the same reasons, with ***slavish repetition***

Spelling error noted several times, the documents produced by Dr. Albamontes.

Therefore, even not wanting to assume in advance that the thought of Dr. Bencivinni was negatively *affected* by Dr. Albamontes, there certainly should be emphasized that ***the ascendant*** he has had on the Judge was certainly that to mislead it, even when the mistake was deemed **objective, kerosine and unjustified** ; as in the case of spelling.

The only assumption, then, that since the beginning of this process, the prosecutor Albamontes, the Judicial Police, the GIP and then Bencivinni judge had been aware of the **manufacture** the news of crime is such that ***severely disturb the mood of ordinary citizens*** and it threatens to undermine the perception of the concept of justice in Italy, with **dangerous confusion between crime and institution** .

The writer believes that it has already been MISUSE receiver Attorney ***perfectly capable*** to try **manufacture of the crime report by the CT Ramondino** ; direction, on the other, in which they go numerous reports and technical productions of writers (some of which, surprisingly, remained ***outstanding***) which make it possible to find the latest evidence to affirm who is actually entered in the mailbox of Prof. Stajano on January 26,

2016.

But when all this is added the discovery that the true nature of the investigation of the Roman method concerned on an activity aimed at destabilizing a candidate for the White House, when we are witnessing a whole process in which the *detailed technical statements* the defendants on Ramondino fabrications before the news of crime, and *very morning* the offense, are regularly ignored; when there has been a prosecutor and a judge, who regularly are silent before *serious technical surveys* moved, and indeed take refuge in the exclusion of questions that would bring everything to light , Then you just have to reiterate the expression that the writer already used during the interview with Dr. Miliani, in January 2018, at the prison Regina Coeli, namely:

*In Rome does something very wrong!*

## **2. False Allegations and Complaints Omitted**

In the comments below, the particulars of *page* They are those of the page number *printed at the bottom*, in the relevant page of the reasons, and not the actual page number by combining the resulting document with those that precede it.

1. At page. 3 Judge declares the usable *all* scanned documents. However, during the entire the conduct of the trial was *largely* as exemplified ducts were clearly Ramondino suffering from unauthorized access crime . Therefore, the behavior of *willful negligence* of Dr. Bencivinni that it can not be framed in the sphere of *intentionality*, intended to overlook *deliberately* ducts Ramondino, the sole purpose of *save the document production*.

Such production, for another, *nothing* Test the direction of the suspects, but only serves to give body to a broad framework of *smoke and mirrors* in which the search for the subject, predicate and are systematically diverted to raise the username, password, and high-sounding surnames. Yes returns also to report to the Prosecutor MISUSE receiver that myself invited in writing, by Mod. 13 from prison, Dr. Bencivinni to complain of the conduct unlawful access (and not just Ramondino) that emerged during interrogation . The Judge, not only, in fact, had not been activated, as his *duty*,

in this sense, but he came up to refuse to transmit the case to the prosecutor of Perugia, objecting so also on *My specific request*.

Therefore, it is clear not only that Dr. Bencivinni has failed to report criminal conduct, but that such behavior was more seriously aimed at maintaining *undamaged* document production Ramondino, that she was perfectly known to be result of crime . The use of evidentiary material *result of crime* It is then worthy of challenge in itself; and frankly it was hoped to *not having to remember* a Judge of the Court of Rome, as it seems fashionable now, preaching the uselessness and then disapply . There are, then, several requests for transmission acts to the prosecutor of Perugia made by lawyers and Parretta Bottacchiari at the hearing, and all remaining *punctually unanswered*, making a framework of clear aggravated failure to report, reiterated .

2. At page. 5 The Judge **He says false because email sent to Di Maio was attached**

**only one script, within a .zip file, and not the malware that have been discussed throughout the process** . In fact, even the prosecutor of Perugia asserts that Ramondino **He did not clarify like (?) by script attached to an email to Di Maio he's come to the fateful malware** . This was already perfectly clear during the process because, as well as our consultant noticed Mattia Epifani, Ramondino has never produced *exe* that would *allegedly* downloaded from the script. Therefore, if the prosecutor of Perugia now has evidence that such a link **it's never been done by Ramondino** , Then this **it is also proof that Ramondino has fabricated the crime report** ; or at least that the investigation was *pre-articulated*.

The Dr. Bencivinni instead intentionally skipped this important step on which we have repeatedly *urged investigations*, step she has *deliberately* omitted and that he clearly felt that the script (posted by Ramondino) was connected certainly not to us; **unless you want to blindly believe the tales an individual who was continuing unauthorized access** ; thing that he understood Bencivinni *perfectly*.

Deepening the connection between the script sent to Di Maio and a *Next eventual exe* containing our license mailbee (because this is **the axiom** on which rests the manufacture) it would in fact exposed quell'exe to our analysis; Analysis that would have demolished him.

**Therefore Bencivinni has deliberately failed to carry on this side.**

3. At page. 9 the Bencivinni says that malware (malware and then what? The script?) Would sent the data to our domain hostpenta.com, what **to clear in order to emphasize a connection with us** But this is patently untrue and contradicts *the same prosecution's assertions* who spoke of sending GMX.

Also, since Ramondino produced in its information and username domain password **hostpenta.com**, it should be noted again that the Prosecutor the need to verify **the use that has Ramondino then actually made of these credentials, or it has been made unauthorized access Also the domain email hostpenta.com** . Yes *hopes* one day to understand, then, what *legal classification* You should be given to the possession of mailbee license signed, and the other (at least) 6, by Ramondino, possession, which now seems to date back to 2010 (!) as even the mailbee license **It is a code Reserved the possession of which allows save an outlay of around 800 euros**; Therefore, from its possession the result is a **economic benefit** . Anyhow, **the Bencivinni has already failed to report Ramondino for illegal possession of all other passwords** ; it's here *of course* We were in the presence of the purpose of *profit*,

since Ramondino monetizzava with ENI, and then with ENAV, this activity. Furthermore, as already established, Ramondino was also in possession of the domain password

**westlands.com** and myself suffered their **an intrusion on his e-mail from that domain** ;

intrusion that was traced to a service-screen Canadian mail pickup; which he was followed by my report to American providers. Yes *returns* to stress **that any access to mail domains of writers constitute unauthorized access on US soil** and should, therefore, be pursued with

so much attached reporting the American authorities.

We are, however, beginning to make many wondered investigations, on several occasions, to

**Dott. Nazzaro**, Also on magnetic media. It 'clear that if our expectations evidentiary *should* be reflected, then it will **necessary** having to wonder about why he has given during those investigations.

4. At page. 10 at the bottom, **the Bencivinni formulates a new false** saying, still with the aim of link with myself, that the email sent to Di Maio would contain my mailbee license. But, as already mentioned (!), The email sent to Di Maio It contained a simple script , *we've all seen*, and this script It did not contain the license mailbee . Apart from the fact that even if he contained, it is clear that *someone* (maybe had it since 2010!) he would very well have put on purpose, it is not possible that a judge has committed such an error coarse in good faith.

5. At page. 11:12 the Bencivinni completely omits the *criminal conduct* Ramondino that have been pointed out , Both by the defense during the examination of the witness, because by me, who had *mashed potato* urged to press charges. How do you *not to understand* that, for the mailbee license, that what is true for any confidential code or password: that those who *It has* the password has complete access to what it protects? Truly Bencivinni may not have realized that Ramondino, having mailbee copy of the license, could fill any exe, with it the inside, just like having a copy of Stajano password could access to your email? This is As shocking as it is ridiculous !

So his behavior is intentional and repeated until today.

6. False continue to pg. 13 where **It goes back to say that the mail sent to Di Maio He would contain mailbee (again!)** , Attachment that has already been said and reiterated instead be a mere script.

But the interesting thing is that now we talk *even* of a license mailbee free . Apart from the fact that still you do not understand how you've been doing this link to a following exe ( someone will think ever to clear it?), which remains a key element process (Exe that repeats, "is also missing"), it is clear that if it was a free license, then that malware he could not to last more than 30 days . In fact, the free licensing of AfterLogic, is also evident from the site, have a shelf life of only 30 days, after which *expire*.

A project to 30 days it would seem all the more be framed in a manufacturing drawing . But it must be said, to be fair, that the Judge mixed false to real negligence because in these times of its reasons, it seems to be all wrapped up in the most *gloomy and superficial* confusion.

7. In paragraph 2 p. 13, not only the Bencivinni completely conceals our observations on finding the sender by means of the reconstruction of *conversation* email underway, **but he says "once again" falsely saying that the component mailbee would be contained in Annex malicious sent to Stajano (!)** .

8. At page. 19:20 The Judge endorses the statement coat according to which our prelevavano server then this data from GMX; **What we know to be false** and for which we asked the prosecutor of Perugia to depth; insights Now

mandatory It is seen that this assertion is aimed at creating the nth *clue* towards us . However, at this point we have obvious **reasons to believe that Ramondino also accessed it at GMX** and that, therefore, the GMX log *are not never* You were asked by Dr. Albamontes

*intentionally*, to cover unauthorized access Ramondino. This clearly delineates a **Conduct of the PM well more structured than simply omitted complaint, while aggravated**, that is currently being challenged to Albamontes. And the same applies to all other circumstances the failure to acquire log files, including that of the same Stajano (with a lot of "cheerful" expiry of the period *data retention*) , Outlining a clear **conduct aimed not to bring out other illegal conduct of the entities mentioned.**

Therefore, the prosecutor of Perugia, it is believed, **should** today also proceed to verify with certainty that these logs were not even before *acquired* by CNAIPIC and then kept *hidden* defense (And the prosecutor of Perugia?) Precisely because of our technical expertise in sift; and the danger it represented for Part investigating. It's clear, and we apologize for the obvious That such conduct should again *far beyond*

the failure aggravated complaint.

9. Earlier this page. 22, surprisingly, also the Bencivinni *Now* goes so far as to say that

"Presumably" (maybe he knows something that this defense does not know) the dates in the database were InfoPyramid dates attack. It is emphasized that **this is yet another formulation aimed at avoiding the subject (who was to make the attack), the predicate (as did the attack), leaving the mere complement object (who was being attacked), for another "alleged", and a vague idea of the attack time reference, obviously always "alleged"!**

And "it possible that a Judge *can not you understand* that of course this is not a probative element against Occhionero?

10. It should be defined *ridiculous*, if it were associated with a conviction so

heavy, the fact that, even on p. 25, our servers in USA It was the malware management server. But throughout the process **They never knew what they were doing (?)**.

The Bencivinni continues to use *evasive formulations* (management server?) just to avoid to formulate a clear predicate and especially, ( *should* remind him) a reference to an article of the Criminal Code .

What are the management server? What were they doing? And where is this qualification in the Italian Criminal Code?

**It 'a formulation stretched to mislead the reader. But there is also a false blatant when you said that I would have used with Francesca the expression: "the management server malware ". Yes \_\_\_\_\_ It calls to verify the wiretap because this is absolutely false.**

11. Up to in paragraph 3 where you start to talk about Francesca Occhionero has not yet realized *to*

*who and when* we would have done illegal access, access that you want to go under, if anything, the detention of data, and in any case it would be something else.

**At this point the whole process is very clear that the Dott. Albamontes has formulated the entire Cape B as a mere *bet* on what he expected to find, or**

He wanted to find.

A process concluded, it is not possible that the entire Italian justice continue to pretend not to see that Dr. Albamontes is not able to say no to such email, or on which day, neither of which IP or machine, we would made unauthorized access. Therefore, the PM knows clearly that it has formulated a piece of false charges.

12. The certificate verification page. 29 is instead that I did because *suspicious the attack with trojan* and it has nothing to do with what the judge says. This was perfectly well known to Bencivinni because it was discussed at length in court and it was one of the evidence that I provided which attack IT certification through Microsoft's digital identity impersonamento .

Therefore, the fact that she will lead back to the malware is absolutely false and instrumental , Then that element not being in any way connected to the famous malware but, in fact, to an obvious means to attack *trojans versus* myself, I made by prosecutors in Rome.

13. The charges against Francesca are even more imaginative and far more than evidence. she will denies having opened files compatible with those of the malware; for more on his computer. Where the unauthorized access? And what it's compatible files? Since there is in Italy a *Opening crime of compatible files?*  
And 'yet formulation intentionally stretched to produce suggestion and such, not only do not try anything, but even from do not even know how to "contest" unlawful conduct. Therefore it is false.

14. The Bencivinni also alternates with phrases like Francesca suggestive to *even expressions of all hypothetical* as "it can be considered." This indicates that she, for one, *is not absolutely convinced*, is therefore it must be considered to have been under pressure aimed at obtaining the conviction.

15. At page. 47 is introduced a new false tended to engender the idea that the purpose of suppositories acquisitions was that of the economic benefit . However, the manufacture, also here, is made with the same carat weight *rough* of the others.  
In fact, we have never had a working relationship with Chicks and his group. This, therefore, may not have been stated *in good faith* from Bencivinni; also because it seems that the chicks himself was heard in the SIT and, therefore, *just read that testimony* to understand that there was no link between us and them.

Moreover, the fact that the SIT was not produced in court finds yet another activity concealment: to say that we would have an economic relationship with Chicks, for to suggest The economic purpose of our activities, but then to hide the truth contained in the SIT that would compromise the indictment lie.

16. Still page. 55 prelevavano our server data directly from GMX, reiterates a question already mentioned, in this regard, in the previous point, but also reiterates the proof that falsehood is intentional.

17. Absolutely free *balance and discernment* then it appears defense that Bencivinni ago Mentat at p. 55, 56 and 57. Not only so far has *rejected* of noticing the unauthorized access and transmit complaints, but it is clear that the Judge even wants *protect* Mentat respect to disputes (disputes that seems to be finally

moves, *at least*, by prosecutors in Perugia).

**We face to chance *shameful* in which a judge, instead of doing his duty and take action against the crime of Ramondino, the even provides *shield* in the midst of its conclusions.**

18. At page. 58, moreover, it appears clear that the *conjecture* the manufacture of the news crime had even been made by the Judge.

**This then shows that his failure research and omitted assessments in this regard can only be considered *intentional, and not mere negligence.***

19. Equally noteworthy is then disquisition to p. 59 and 60 where It flies over the Bencivinni *generously* the crucial aspect of who was the commissioner of Ramondino in December 2015 and on 21 and 26 January 2016 before the ENAV sent . And even in April, May and then November 2015, from file *himself* produces, and as we pointed us *nth memory completely ignored by Judge* . At the lawyer's question Parretta, Ramondino responded to *do not remember (!)* for those who worked in those periods.

**Neither the judge nor the prosecutor found the clarity, courage or honesty to ask: "but in short Ramondino, who he worked for her at that time? "**

It seems to us that the convenient answer (for many) , Namely that *Ramondino worked for Ramondino*, It can not be accepted, even if it serves to hold harmless more than one in charge of this affair. We trust that the prosecutors in Perugia want *long last* give clear answer to this question even if it emerges that there were one or more occult clients, nested in the Italian judicial institutions.

To do this research, it points to the receivers that you need to prosecutors *to verify* any previous relationships between the Mentat Solutions SRL and section H AISI, any direct relations with **Mentat Chief of Police, Gabrielli**, over ( *obviously*) to any relationship with the **Link Campus University**, which will be discussed below.

In any case, we want to reiterate, it will not be the prosecutor of Perugia to answer such questions, sooner or later, will be *this defense* to do it.

20. At page. 62, for the umpteenth time, and with true *paranoia*, it reiterates the assertion that the Annex

Di Maio the email contained the virus, when it contained a simple *script and just*,

**if there were still doubts *intentionality* of this false representation.**

21. At page. 65 instead repeats the false claim on my collection of malware information from

GMX, already discussed *widely* in the preceding paragraphs. This time, however, the predicate is ascribed *directly* to me, and not to servers, **therefore it is a *new is different* false on which to proceed.**

### **3. On Liparota Studio and the links with Telecom Italy and ENAV**

As seems to be emerging from the investigations of the Prosecutor of Perugia, there is a link between professional *different actors* of this story and previous experience in **Telecom Italy**, Today Telecom Italy Mobile.

We believe this is also due to yet another anomaly of this process: namely *presence* of the **Studio Liparota**, Liparota Vazzana today, as a defender of the same ENAV, victim of the attack.

It is not known whether the prosecutor of Perugia has ever found it, but the Study Liparota witnessed the Westlands over ten years ago, mainly in disputes regarding the SIRE SpA whose Westlands was a shareholder. However, it was the agreement signed in *waive all mandates*

lawyer **Fabio Liparota** ( while he is finding abroad, without waiting for my return to Italy) as a result of various judicial ordeals that we had *suspicious*, culminated with the discovery that the attorney Liparota met, at his studio, the counterparts of our processes, without our knowledge.

Big and inseparable *friend* Attorney Liparota is such **Guido Di Donato**, Former policeman, then just under the security of Telecom Italy. It is considered appropriate that the prosecutors in Perugia check the possible involvement, *or even the mere knowledge*, by Di Donato said, the actions carried out at Telecom Italy during the course of the investigation Romana.

This, because it seems logical to conclude that the link between ENAV and Liparota Studio was *own* the same Di Donato, because of a probable previous friendship or acquaintance **Francesco Di Maio**.

This will also bring back the focus (topic more *serious* General and on which, in truth, the writer had already *solicited* the prosecutor of Perugia) on how to split the positions at ENAV , which *remember* be a company with substantial public interest; but, more importantly, would attest to a nth element of pre-establishes this investigation Further substantiated by the evident *grudge* that the lawyer Liparota test for us.

### **4. On the Link Campus University and on Operation Sabotage Trump**

As well known to the prosecutor of Perugia, the last exposed, the past November 2018, signed by the undersigned, we are emerging *disturbing implications* that prove the involvement of the CNAIPIC officials and prosecutors in Rome in a survey, *kept secret*, It may be labeled " **Project Charlemagne** "And which targeted the candidate for the Presidency Trump **before** And the President Trump **after** .

This survey, which is perhaps more correct to define " *operation* ", He aimed to build a dummy predicate criminal involvement in a rather *inexistent*, activities (never even attempted) to purchase the famous email of candidate Clinton, from the Russian government.

These profiles have serious, obvious and compelling national security implications.

It is not entirely summarizes the narration of that exposed the synthesis of which, however, is that of an activity of *provocation* , Held at the Link Campus University in Rome, where such **Prof.**

**Joseph Mifsud**, already ricollegabile least the former Head of the Postal Police Ties Roberto, tried to propose **George Papadopoulos** ( then the Trump campaign adviser) in March of 2016 the purchase of the e-mail of Clinton, posing as put the Russian government.

Not only is it quite evident that Mifsud *not represent* absolutely the Russian government, a task which needs to be defined only "*provocative*" but it is also very light (for those who still did not understand it!) that the subject of the email Clinton, in Rome, was widely competence **Police post**.

Papadopoulos was drawn into this meeting in Rome a task cut out on the classic model agent provocateur where, if the prosecutor of Rome had had no involvement, then he should ***give course to different procedures*** and, even, ***arrests*** for serious acts that were accomplished under the circumstances, much to the American ally, as to the Russian government.

And I'm talking hostile acts towards two foreign countries; ie Art. 244 of the Criminal Code!

Further to what was exposed last November 2018, much importance was given to this receiver prosecutor, the fact that its various players in Occhionero each other are now emerging

own such as ***teachers***, or otherwise ***connected to***, Link Campus University. In particular:

- The prosecutor **Eugenio Albamontes**,  
<https://polis.unilink.it/privacy-data-protection/>  
<https://polis.unilink.it/crimine-informatico-tavola-rotonda-nellambito-del-corso-privacydata-protection/>
- Former Chief of Police Post **Roberto Di Ties**,  
<http://scientificintelligence.unilink.it/docenti/>
- The Director of CNAIPIC **Ivano Gabrielli**,  
<https://master.unilink.it/master-in-intelligence-sicurezza/>
- The Hon. **Gianni Pittella**, a close friend of Professor Joseph Mifud,  
<http://www.linkcampuspalermo.it/ateneo/>
- And, even, the former Hon. **Giuseppe Esposito**, Vice President of COPASIR to which the undersigned (now, it's appropriate to say "*naively*") Intended to address precisely because it avoided scenarios like the one outlined here:  
<https://analisicriminale.it/le-nuove-sfide-della-sicurezza-foreign-fighters/>
- The Chief Superintendent **Francesco Coat** it is He has played a Master at Link.
- And then, of course, the better to make ***exercise*** those who specialize in seeing *only coincidences* , even **Francesco Di Maio**, *coincidentally*, victim of the attack ENAV:  
[http://www.aipsa.it/dev/wp-content/uploads/2012/10/Presentazione6%5EEditione\\_1110.pdf](http://www.aipsa.it/dev/wp-content/uploads/2012/10/Presentazione6%5EEditione_1110.pdf)

In light of what is emerging, the writer believes that the previous survey in 2015, by Dr. inevitable. Albamontes, can not be sure disconnected from the present, ascrivendone the reason to a mere oversight (!) , As well as alleged by the prosecutor of Perugia.

*But as you can not see that the clear reason for denying meeting dossier was to have a new deadline for the preliminary investigation, preparing the shot on Occhionero, and make unavailable prior evidence that would have strengthened the thesis of the investigation pre-incorporation or, worse , Highlighted the offenses Ramondino and perhaps others?*

Indeed, it is believed that it is precisely the task of the prosecutor of Perugia now go in depth on any previous relationship between Ramondino, CNAIPIC, ENAV, Albamontes, FBI or whatever.

It would seem, then, even that, not only had *invented criminal evidence for political purposes*, but now it also outlines the most serious hypothesis that the architects of the Trump-Russia criminal predicate they had deceived even potential beneficiaries (their friends !!!) of the Democratic Party and American FBI ; doing them *to believe to have found a track for the emails of Clinton, when in fact this track there was not; track that it would, however, have wanted and then to manufacture from scratch !*

And the only way to carry out this plan would have been to to deposit subsequently those emails on our servers , *maybe by means of unauthorized access*. This is, moreover, already complies with the fact that Ramondino *onsite* of our credentials and must, therefore, inconceivable that Ramondino or CNAIPIC could then also obtain " *really*" Those emails; *provided that they had not already!*

In this regard, also becomes crucial now investigate what were the relationships between agents of CNAIPIC and **probably the Department of Information and Security, With the' former British -Agent Christopher Steele, author of the famous anti-Trump dossier; document that contained several references now mostratisi completely unfounded and slanderous; so traveling this individual, in all probability, towards a next criminality in an American federal court.**

In particular, the dossier Steele makes explicit reference to one or more *botnets*, ascribed to such company (maybe Russian?) XBT / Webzilla, used to spread viruses. Therefore, it is further evident how this matter that could not be scoped Postal Police or, more appropriately, just the CNAIPIC.

For further confirmation of the above, there are, then, the Several Journeys of Steele in Italy of which one, *coincidentally*, in the two days of searches at the homes of Occhionero.

Because, ultimately, a Steele **former British -Agent or intelligence being out for over 5 years** It is quite evident that he should also serve as surrogate and dressing a "real" British intelligence involvement in this matter.

However, even a fool would understand very well that, if there had been some *real* predicate on which to proceed, the intelligence and the British GCHQ would have access to much more sophisticated means which do not *to organize meetings with Russian girls good looking* , would-grandchildren of President Putin.

Continuing, then, because until now **Nobody had wondered**, you might begin to ask the staff of CNAIPIC and the prosecutors of the prosecutors in Rome selfis who met, the

agents **FBI counter-espionage** who came to Rome in September 2016 Just to read the dossier Steele! Then, it goes on trying to understand what were the relationships of the same Steele with those members of the judicial police and the Italian judiciary; and, of course, with members of the Government and the then parliamentary majority.

The connection to Occhionero would, however, ultimately, due to serve to direct blame and investigations towards the American Republican Party and, in fact, someone already at our arrest signaled Political (must, basically, the newsletter of the Democrats in the US) the link between Julius Occhionero and former Republican ambassador, Mel Sembler:

<https://www.politico.eu/article/giulio-occhionero-francesca-maria-occhionero-mysterious-siblingduo-accused-of-hacking-the-italian-elite>

That someone was *very knowledgeable* even though he knew that in the past I had been offered to collaborate with the National Laboratories of the Pentagon, in Argonne, Illinois.

This would delineate a scenario **Total bad faith, even to the political allies of makers**, Allies who wanted so encouraging, but by means of a *construction "abruptly" predicate crime*; preached that **breached the victim Trump, as much as deceiving the beneficiary Clinton !!!**

E 'clear, therefore, that the single most despicable purpose everything was to develop a simple *credit of a political nature*; and it's *simplicistic, naive and negligent* now insist on giving it a purely legal reading.

In this regard, then, still leaves *astonished* the condition for which it has not yet examined whether the letter of request documentation on our servers, **which certainly show link indicators with the investigation Russiagate**; not to mention several times solicited acquisition (is it really possible that you have to repeat every exposed, and every memory?) of correspondence between CNAIPIC and prosecutors in Rome, on the one hand, and the other FBI.

And what, then, other serious implications, Too many times *brought to the attention of the entire Italian judicial audience*, for which a prosecutor, that is Eugenio Albamontes, kept the defense Occhionero **unaware of all this documentation**, as well as countless acts of investigation, including, surveillance, eavesdropping and photographic sets; the whole no one took the **minimum** corrective measure of such conduct of concealment and distortion!

Also in this direction, even goes renewed recommendation the prosecutor of Perugia to verify that *the integrity and security of communications* sent by the undersigned to the receivers Attorneys not You have been breached. This, because it would be really *unedifying* (but the correct term would be *ridiculous*) if tomorrow you were to find out that Albamontes, to be investigated, And using the pretext written off the proceedings against the Occhionero, had taken note of the communications of the undersigned from is to the prosecutor of Perugia; maybe even in the midst of " *folklore* "technical consultants.

In conclusion, it reiterates reminder to the Prosecutor of Perugia because it deepens **quickly** the serious political and international implications of this *heinousness*, *without excluding any hypothesis*, since it is at stake, not the mere interest of the accused Occhionero, but **the same guarantee of our national security.**

Just at the time of this writing the **US Senate** It is beginning to convene, *such as text*, Italian citizens whose questions will be addressed on involvement Italian politicians in an attempt to sabotage the candidate Trump, before And the President Trump, after .

*There is no possibility that the true extent and scope of the incident remain indefinitely tombate; especially if more than one year of imprisonment is not served or to lock ourselves mouth, or to keep us up to this point.*

*If there will be the Italian prosecuting authorities to bring this evidence to light, then they will do the defensive investigations, journalistic and those parliamentary inquiries.*

*In any case, the authors of the seedier judicial draft political overthrow of the Italian republican history, even to the detriment of our primary ally, they will have to answer.*

With best regards,



Giulio Occhionero